

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

•

1761
DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,349	)	03/08/2001	Dusan A. Miljkovic	700.11-US1	7426
24392	7590	11/01/2002			
	V & TUCKE	ER, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BO COSTA		92628-1950		SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	licant(s)						
	09/802,349	MILJKOVIC ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Curtis E. Sherrer	1761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>								
,_	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* *							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/802,349

Art Unit: 1761

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 17-20, which were cancelled, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected 5, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

# Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: claim 7 concerns the use of tocol in the claimed product and no reference to that was found in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,349

Art Unit: 1761

Page 3

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a plant extract of the claimed product, does not reasonably provide enablement for the product to be synthetically produced. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification recites that the "bioactive compounds may be isolated, purified to homogeneity, and the structure be elucidated. Consequently, it should be appreciated that the contemplated bioactive compounds may be entirely (*de novo*) or partially synthesized/modified *in vitro*." Applicant places an undue burden on those of ordinary skill in the art so that they would be able to synthesize the claimed composition.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because the scope of the phrase "thaumatin-like proteins" is unknown.

Claims 9 and 16 are indefinite because the scope of the term "about" is unknown.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/802,349

Art Unit: 1761

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by barley wort in light of Fox (USPN 5,725,901).

Applicant claims products that are disclosed to be obtainable by extracting barley with water, which is a brewer's wort (generally used in making beer). Many of the claims recite process limitation concerning how the product is produced but these are given no patentable weight because these process steps would inherently produce products that are found in brewer's wort.

With regard to claim 7 and the presence of a tocol, wort inherently contains a tocol, as evidenced by Fox, see col. 2, line 66 to col. 3, line 3.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cvetkovic *et al.* (J. Inst. Brew., May-June, 1997, Vol. 103, pp. 183-86) teaches the effects of some thaumatin like proteins on brewers yeast.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1761

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner October 30, 2002